IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

| DORA DAVIS, |) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |)) |
| v. |) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:05-CV-1040-WKW |
| ALBANY INTERNATIONAL CORP.; |)) |
| JEFF JOHNSTON, |) |
| Defendants. | ,) |

ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT JEFF JOHNSTON

Defendant Jeff Johnston ("Johnston") answers the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Johnston admits that the Plaintiff purports to assert claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1981, the Family and Medical Leave Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq. ("FMLA") and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq. ("ERISA"), but denies that he violated these statutes or any other law.

I.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Paragraph 2 is jurisdictional only and requires no response. To the extent that any response is required, Johnston admits that this Court has federal question jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's 42 U.S.C. § 1981, FMLA and ERISA claims, but denies that he violated these statutes or any other law.

- 3. Paragraph 3 is jurisdictional only and requires no response. To the extent that any response is required, Johnston admits that this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claims, but denies that he is liable to the Plaintiff on these or any other claims.
- 4. Johnston admits that venue is proper in the Middle District of Alabama. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 4 are denied.

II.

PARTIES

- 5. Johnston admits that the Plaintiff is a black female over the age of nineteen. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 5 and, therefore, denies the same.
 - 6. Admitted.
- 7. Johnston admits that he is a white male over the age of nineteen and that he is a resident of Montgomery County. Johnston further admits that his interactions with the Plaintiff during the time that both were employed with Albany International ("Albany") were within the scope of his employment, agency and supervisory authority with Albany and/or were condoned and ratified by Albany. Johnston denies that he engaged in any unlawful conduct towards the Plaintiff. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 7 are denied.

III.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

8. Johnston admits that the Plaintiff was employed with Albany and that she resigned from that employment in Fall 2003. Johnston lacks knowledge and information

sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 8 and, therefore, denies the same.

- 9. Johnston admits that he held a management and/or supervisory position with Albany on October 29, 2003. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 9 are denied.
 - 10. Denied.
 - 11. Johnston denies that he engaged in any unlawful conduct towards the Plaintiff.
- 12. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 12 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 13. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 13 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 14. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 14 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 15. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 15 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 16. Johnston admits that the Plaintiff had surgery on her rotator cuff. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 16 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 17. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 17 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 18. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 18 and, therefore, denies the same.

Page 4 of 17

- Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the 19. truth of the allegations of Paragraph 19 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 20. Admitted that the Plaintiff stated that work duties at Albany caused her pain. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 20 are denied.
- 21. Admitted that the Plaintiff worked on the M3000 machine at times during her employment at Albany. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 21 are denied.
 - 22. Denied.
- 23. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 23 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 24. Johnston admits that the Plaintiff was written up in accordance with Albany's attendance policy. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 23 are denied.
 - 25. Denied.
- 26. Johnston admits that he told the Plaintiff that she could return to work if she was able, but that if she elected not to work, despite her worker's compensation doctors having released her to full duty, her absences would be counted under Albany's attendance policy. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 26 are denied.
- 27. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 27 and, therefore, denies the same.

IV.

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

CLAIM ONE VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1981

28. Johnston restates his responses to Paragraphs 1-27.

4

- Denied. 29.
- Denied. 30.
- 31. Denied.

CLAIM TWO FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE ACT

- 32. Johnston restates his responses to Paragraphs 1-31.
- 33. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 33 and, therefore, denies the same.
 - 34. Johnston denies that he was an employer within the meaning of the FMLA.
 - 35. Denied.
 - 36. Denied.
 - 37. Denied.
- 38. Johnston admits that, during his employment with Albany, Albany had an attendance policy that placed limits on the number of unexcused absences. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 38 are denied.
 - 39. Denied.
 - 40. Denied.
 - 41. Denied.
- 42. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 42 and, therefore, denies the same.
 - 43. Denied.
 - 44. Denied.
 - 45. Denied.
 - 46. Denied.

- 47. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 47 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 48. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 48 and, therefore, denies the same.
 - 49. Denied.
 - 50. Denied.
 - 51. Denied.
 - 52. Denied.

CLAIM THREE VIOLATION OF ERISA

- 53. Johnston restates his responses to Paragraphs 1-52.
- 54. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 54 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 55. Johnston lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 55 and, therefore, denies the same.
 - 56. Denied.
 - 57. Denied.
 - 58. Denied.
 - 59. Denied.
 - 60. Denied.
 - 61. Denied.
- 62. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.

- 63. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 64. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 65. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 66. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 67. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 68. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 69. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 70. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 71. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 72. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 73. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.

- 74. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 75. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 76. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 77. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 78. This portion of the Plaintiff's ERISA claim is asserted only against Albany and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.

CLAIM FOUR NEGLIGENT HIRING, SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

- 79. Johnston restates his responses to paragraphs 1-78.
- 80. The Plaintiff's claim for negligent hiring, supervision and training is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for negligent hiring, supervision and training and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 81. The Plaintiff's claim for negligent hiring, supervision and training is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for negligent hiring, supervision and training and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.

CLAIM FIVE NEGLIGENT RETENTION

82. Johnston restates his responses to Paragraphs 1-81.

- 83. The Plaintiff's claim for negligent retention is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for negligent retention and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 84. The Plaintiff's claim for negligent retention is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for negligent retention and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.

CLAIM SIX NEGLIGENCE

- 85. Johnston restates his responses to Paragraphs 1-84.
- 86. The Plaintiff's claim for negligence is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for negligence and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 87. The Plaintiff's claim for negligence is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for negligence and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.
- 88. The Plaintiff's claim for negligence is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for negligence and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.

CLAIM SEVEN INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 89. Johnston restates his responses to Paragraphs 1-88.
- 90. Johnston admits that at times during his employment with Albany, he was employed in a managerial and/or supervisory capacity and acted within the scope of his employment and in furtherance of Albany's business. Johnston further admits that, at times

9

during his employment with Albany, Albany directed his acts. Johnston denies that he engaged in any unlawful conduct towards the Plaintiff.

- 91. Johnston admits that the Plaintiff was employed by Albany, that she resigned from that employment in the Fall of 2003 and that she was a Seamer at the time of her resignation. Johnston lacks knowledge and information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 91 and, therefore, denies the same.
 - 92. Denied.
- 93. Johnston admits that the Plaintiff met with him and others at Albany in the Fall of 2003 regarding her work status. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 93 are denied.
- 94. Johnston admits that the Plaintiff met with him and others at Albany in the conference room, and that Johnston asked the Plaintiff some questions during this meeting. Except as explicitly admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 94 are denied.
 - 95. Denied.
 - 96. Denied.
 - 100.1 Denied.
 - 101. Denied.
 - 102. Denied.
 - 103. Denied.

CLAIM EIGHT ALTERNATIVE LIABILITY OF ALBANY INTERNATIONAL IN RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

104. Johnston restates his responses to Paragraphs 1-103.

.

¹ The Plaintiff's Amended Complaint skips from paragraph 96 to paragraph 100. For ease of reference, Johnston has answered the Amended Complaint using the same erroneous numbering system.

- 105. The Plaintiff's claim for respondent superior is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for respondent superior and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations. To the extent that any response is required of Johnston as to these allegations, Johnston denies the allegations of Paragraph 105.
- 106. The Plaintiff's claim for respondent superior is asserted only against Albany. No claim has been asserted against Johnston for respondent superior and, thus, no response is required of Johnston to these allegations.

CLAIM NINE ASSAULT AND BATTERY

- 107. Johnston restates his responses to Paragraphs 1-106.
- 108. Denied. Johnston further states that the Plaintiff filed a response to his motion for summary judgment, in which she stated: "The Plaintiff alleged that the Defendants committed an assault and battery. However, the Plaintiff can not substantiate her claim and voluntarily dismisses the claim for assault and battery."
- 109. Johnston denies that he committed any acts against the Plaintiff that could constitute assault or battery. Johnston further states that the Plaintiff filed a response to his motion for summary judgment, in which she stated: "The Plaintiff alleged that the Defendants committed an assault and battery. However, the Plaintiff can not substantiate her claim and voluntarily dismisses the claim for assault and battery."
- 110. Denied. Johnston further states that the Plaintiff filed a response to his motion for summary judgment, in which she stated: "The Plaintiff alleged that the Defendants committed an assault and battery. However, the Plaintiff can not substantiate her claim and voluntarily dismisses the claim for assault and battery."

Johnston denies that the Plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in her prayer for relief or to any other relief. In further defense, Johnston avers:

FIRST DEFENSE

Johnston's actions with respect to the Plaintiff were motivated by legitimate, nondiscriminatory, non-retaliatory reasons that were not pretextual.

SECOND DEFENSE

Assuming, arguendo, that Johnston acted with an unlawful motive, though he did not, he would have taken the same action with regard to the Plaintiff in the absence of said alleged unlawful motive.

THIRD DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims for equitable relief are barred by her "unclean hands."

FOURTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of estoppel.

FIFTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims, in whole or in part, are barred by the applicable statutes of limitations.

SIXTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that she failed to mitigate her alleged damages, if any.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Had the alleged conduct of which plaintiff the Plaintiff complains actually occurred (and it did not), the Plaintiff's claims would be barred to the extent that she welcomed, consented to, acquiesced in or otherwise waived objections to such conduct.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

To the extent that the Plaintiff's claims are premised on Johnston's exercise of rights secured and protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, those claims are barred.

NINTH DEFENSE

Johnston denies that he acted with malice or reckless indifference to the rights of the Plaintiff.

TENTH DEFENSE

Any award of punitive damages against Johnston is barred by Ala. Code § 6-11-20 because Johnston did not consciously or deliberately engage in oppression, fraud, wantonness or malice with respect to the Plaintiff.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

Any award of punitive damages against Johnston is limited by Ala. Code § 6-11-21.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Assuming, *arguendo*, any unlawful acts occurred, though they did not, Johnston is not liable for punitive damages, if any, because any unlawful employment decisions were contrary to his good faith efforts to comply with 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and other anti-discrimination statutes.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims against Johnston are barred, in whole or in part, by the exclusive remedial provisions of Alabama's Workers' Compensation Act. <u>Ala. Code</u> §§ 25-5-11(b), 25-5-53.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

To the extent that the Plaintiff unreasonably delayed in reporting or complaining of any alleged unlawful conduct, her claims are barred by the doctrine of laches.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims, in whole or in part, are preempted by § 301 of the Labor Management Relations Act.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims, in whole or in part, are barred by her failure to submit her complaints to the grievance procedure contained in the collective bargaining agreement that governed her employment with Albany.

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff is not entitled to compensatory or punitive damages under the FMLA or ERISA.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

Johnston was not an employer within the meaning of the FMLA and, therefore, is not subject to liability under that statute.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's FMLA claim fails to the extent that she was not an eligible employee within the meaning of the FMLA.

TWENTIETH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's FMLA claim fails to the extent that the leave allegedly requested by the Plaintiff did not qualify as FMLA leave.

TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's FMLA claim fails to the extent that the Plaintiff failed to provide adequate notice of the need to take FMLA leave.

TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's FMLA claim fails to the extent that the Plaintiff did not have a serious health condition within the meaning of the FMLA.

TWENTY-THIRD DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's FMLA claim fails to the extent that the Plaintiff failed to provide medical certification of the need for FMLA leave.

TWENTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's FMLA claim fails to the extent that she had exhausted her available leave.

TWENTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff was not entitled to reinstatement under the FMLA.

TWENTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

Any action or omission by Johnston giving rise to a claim under the FMLA was not willful but was made in good faith with a reasonable belief that the action or omission did not violate the FMLA.

TWENTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

To the extent that the Plaintiff has failed to join all necessary parties, her relief may be limited or denied.

TWENTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's jury demand is due to be stricken as to her ERISA claim.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ John W. Sheffield

John W. Sheffield (ASB-)

s/Lynlee Wells Palmer

Lynlee Wells Palmer (ASB-4367-T82P) Attorneys for Defendant, Jeff Johnston

OF COUNSEL:

JOHNSTON BARTON PROCTOR & POWELL LLP

1901 Sixth Avenue North, Suite 2900 Birmingham, AL 35203

Telephone: (205) 458-9400 Facsimile: (205) 458-9500 I hereby certify that on July 26, 2006, I electronically filed the above and foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Trina S. Williams, Esq. Vicky U. Toles, Esq. TOLES & WILLIAMS, LLP 1015 South McDonough Street Montgomery, AL 36104

Charles A. Powell IV, Esq.
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
SouthTrust Tower
420 20th Street North, Suite 1600
Birmingham, AL 35203

s/Lynlee Wells Palmer COUNSEL